Appendix B. Source and Reliability of Estimates

SOURCE OF DATA

Most of the estimates in this report are based on data collected in March 1983 and 1985 from the Current Population Survey (CPS) of the Bureau of the Census. Some estimates are based on data obtained from the CPS in earlier years and from earlier decennial censuses. The monthly CPS deals mainly with labor force data for the civilian noninstitutional population. Questions relating to labor force participation are asked about each member in every sample household. In addition, questions are asked each March about educational attainment. In order to obtain more reliable data for the Spanish population, the March CPS sample was enlarged to include all households from the previous November sample which contained at least one person of Spanish origin. For this report, persons in the Armed Forces living off post or with their families on post are included.

CPS Design Phase-In. Since the inception of the CPS in 1940, the sample has been redesigned several times to raise the quality and reliability of the data to meet changing data needs. Beginning in April 1984, the old design was phased out through a series of changes that were completed in July 1985. The March 1985 CPS sample consists of three rotation groups from the 1970 census files, four rotation groups from the 1980 census

files, and one rotation group from both files. The coverage was in all 50 States and the District of Columbia. The sample is continually updated to reflect new construction. Due to the phase-in of the new design, the March 1985 CPS sample had three rotation groups located in 629 sample areas comprising 1,148 counties, independent cities, and minor civil divisions and five rotation groups located in 729 sample areas representing 1,973 counties and equivalent geographic areas in the United States. This current number of sample areas is not completely comparable to the old number of sample areas since many of the sample areas have been redefined. In this sample, approximately 59,500 occupied households were eligible for interview. Of this number, about 2,500 occupied units were visited but interviews were not obtained because the occupants were not found at home after repeated calls or were unavailable for some other reason.

The table below provides a description of some aspects of the CPS sample designs in use during the referenced data collection periods.

CPS Estimation Procedure. The estimation procedure used in this survey involved the inflation of the weighted sample results to independent estimates of the total civilian noninstitutional population of the United States

Description of the March Current Population Survey

Time period Number of sample areas ¹	Number of sample	Housing units eligible ²		
	Interviewed	Not Interviewed		
1985	629	57,000 58,000 65,500 55,000 46,500	2,500 2,500 3,000 3,000 2,500	
1972 1967 to 1971 1963 to 1966 1960 to 1962 1957 to 1959 1954 to 1956 1947 to 1953	⁴ 333 330	45,000 48,000 33,500 33,500 33,500 21,000 21,000	2,000 2,000 1,500 1,500 1,500 500 - 1,000	

¹These sample areas were chosen to provide coverage in each State and the District of Columbia.

² Does not include supplemental Spanish households.

Three rotation groups were located in 629 areas and five rotation groups in 729 areas.
 Three sample areas were added in 1960 to represent Alaska and Hawaii after statehood.

by age, race, sex, and Hispanic/non-Hispanic categories. These independent estimates are based on statistics from the decennial censuses of population; statistics in births, deaths, immigration, and emigration; and statistics on the strength of the Armed Forces. The independent population estimates used to obtain data for 1980 and later are based on the 1980 decennial census. In earlier reports in this series, data for 1972 through 1979 were obtained using independent population estimates based on the 1970 Decennial Census. For more details on this change, see appendix A, "Population coverage." The estimation procedure for the data from the March supplement involved a further adjustment so that husband and wife of a household received the same weight.

The estimates in this report for the survey year 1985 are also based on revised survey weighting procedures for persons of Spanish origin. In previous years, the estimation procedures used in this survey involved the inflation of weighted sample results to independent estimates of the noninstitutional population by age, sex, and race. There was, therefore, no specific control of the survey estimates for the Spanish-origin population. During the last several years, the Bureau of the Census has developed independent population controls for the Hispanic population by sex and detailed age groups and has adopted revised weighting procedures to incorporate these new controls. It should be noted that the independent population estimates include some, but not all, illegal immigrants.

RELIABILITY OF THE ESTIMATES

Since the CPS estimates were based on a sample, they may differ somewhat from the figures that would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same questionnaires, instructions, and enumerators. There are two types of errors possible in an estimate based on a sample survey; sampling and nonsampling. The accuracy of a survey result depends on both types of errors, but the full extent of the nonsampling error is unknown. Consequently, particular care should be exercised in the interpretation of figures based on a relatively small number of cases or on small differences between estimates. The standard errors provided for the CPS estimates primarily indicate the magnitude of the sampling error. They also partially measure the effect of some nonsampling errors in responses and enumeration, but do not measure any systematic biases in the data. (Bias is the difference, averaged over all possible samples, between the estimate and the desired value.)

Nonsampling Variability. Nonsampling errors can be attributed to many sources, e.g., inability to obtain information about all cases in the sample, definitional

difficulties, differences in the interpretation of questions, inability or unwillingness on the part of respondents to provide correct information, inability to recall information, errors made in data collection such as in recording or coding the data, errors made in processing the data, errors made in estimating values for missing data, and failure to represent all units with the sample (undercoverage).

Undercoverage in the CPS results from missed housing units and missed persons within sample households. Overall undercoverage, as compared to the level of the 1980 Decennial Census, is about 7 percent. It is known that CPS undercoverage varies with age, sex, and race. Generally, undercoverage is larger for males than for females and larger for Blacks and other races combined than for Whites. Ratio estimation to independent agesex-race-Hispanic population controls, as described previously, partially corrects for the bias due to survey undercoverage. However, biases exist in the estimates to the extent that missed persons in missed households or missed persons in interviewed households have different characteristics from those of interviewed persons in the same age-sex-race-Hispanic group. Further, the independent population controls used have not been adjusted for undercoverage in the 1980 census.

For additional information on nonsampling error including the possible impact on CPS data when known, refer to Statistical Policy Working Paper 3, An Error Profile: Employment as Measured by the Current Population Survey, Office of Federal Statistical Policy and Standards, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1978 and Technical Paper 40, The Current Population Survey: Design and Methodology, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Sampling Variability. The standard errors given in the following tables are primarily measures of sampling variability, that is, of the variations that occurred by chance because a sample rather than the entire population was surveyed. The sample estimate and its standard error enable one to construct a confidence interval, a range that would include the average results of all possible samples with a known probability. For example, if all possible samples were selected, each of these being surveyed under essentially the same general conditions and using the same sample design, and if an estimate and its standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

- Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from two standard errors below the estimate to two standard errors above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.
- Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.6 standard errors below the estimate to 1.6 standard errors above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.

The average estimate derived from all possible samples is or is not contained in any particular computed interval. However, for a particular sample, one can say with specified confidence that the average estimate derived from all possible samples is included in the confidence interval.

Standard errors may also be used to perform hypothesis testing, a procedure for distinguishing between population parameters using sample estimates. The most common type of hypotheses appearing in this report is that the population parameters are identical, and (2) the population parameters are different. An example of this would be comparing the proportion of young men who are college graduates to young women. Tests may be performed at various levels of significance, where a level of significance is the probability of concluding that the parameters are different when, in fact, they are identical.

To perform the most common test, let x and y be sample estimates for two characteristics of interest. Let the standard error on the difference x-y be S_{DIFF}. If the ratio R = $(x-y)/S_{DIFF}$ is between -2 and +2, no conclusion about the characteristics is justified at the 0.05 level of significance. If, on the other hand, this ratio is smaller than -2 or larger than +2, the observed difference is significant at the 0.05 level. In this event, it is commonly accepted practice to say that the characteristics are different. Of course, sometimes this conclusion will be wrong. When the characteristics are, in fact, the same, there is a 5-percent chance of concluding that they are different. All statements of comparison in the text have passed a hypothesis test at the 0.10 level of significance or better, and most have passed a hypothesis test at the 0.05 level of significance or better. This means that, for most differences cited in the text, the estimated difference between characteristics is greater than twice the standard error of the difference. For the other differences mentioned, the estimated difference between characteristics is between 1.6 and 2.0 times the standard error of the difference. When this is the case, the statement of comparison is qualified by the use of the phrase "some evidence."

Comparability of Data. Caution should be used when comparing estimates for 1980 and later, which reflect 1980 census-based population controls, to those for 1972 through 1979, which reflect 1970 census-based population controls. This change in population controls had relatively little impact on summary measures such as means, medians, and percent distributions, but did have a significant impact on levels. For example, use of 1980-based population controls results in about a 2-percent increase in the civilian noninstitutional population and in the number of families and households. Thus, estimates of levels for 1980 and later will differ from those for earlier years more than what could be attributed to actual changes in the population and these

differences could be disproportionately greater for certain subpopulation groups than for the total population.

Care must also be taken when comparing Spanishorigin estimates over time due to the recent change in weighting of the Spanish-origin population beginning in 1985. Before 1985, there were no independent population control totals for persons of Spanish origin. See the section entitled "CPS Estimation Procedure."

A number of changes were made in data collection and estimation procedures beginning with the March 1980 CPS. The major changes were the use of the "householder" concept instead of the traditional "head" concept and the introduction of the new farm definition. Due to these and other changes, caution should be used in comparing estimates for 1980 through 1985 with estimates for earlier years. A description of these changes is given in appendix A, "Householder" and "Farmnonfarm residence."

Another major change is the phase-out of the 629 sampling areas obtained by sampling from 1970 census materials and using the 729 sampling areas obtained by sampling from 1980 census materials. The current number of sample areas is not completely comparable to the old number of sample areas since many of the sample areas have been redefined.

Also, in using metropolitan and nonmetropolitan data, caution should be used in comparing estimates for 1977 and 1978 to each other or to any other years. Methodological and sample design changes occurred in these years resulting in relatively large differences in the metropolitan and nonmetropolitan area estimates. However, estimates for 1979 and later are comparable as are estimates for 1976 and earlier. Data on metropolitan and nonmetropolitan residence are not available for 1985. See appendix A.

Decennial Census of Population. The decennial censuses data shown in this report are not strictly comparable to the CPS data. This is due in a large part to differences in interviewer training and experience and in different survey processes. This is an additional component of error not reflected in the standard error tables. Therefore, caution should be used in comparing results between these different sources.

Note When Using Small Estimates. Summary measures (such as medians and percent distributions) are shown only when the base is 75,000 or greater. Because of the large standard errors involved, there is little chance that summary measures would reveal useful information when computed on a smaller base. Estimated numbers are shown, however, even though the relative standard errors of these numbers are larger than those for corresponding percentages. These smaller estimates are provided primarily to permit such combinations of the categories as serve each data user's needs. Also, care must be taken in the interpretation of small differences.

For instance, even a small amount of nonsampling error can cause a borderline difference to appear significant or not, thus distorting a seemingly valid hypothesis test.

Standard Error Tables and Their Use. In order to derive standard errors that would be applicable to a larger number of estimates and could be prepared at a moderate cost, a number of approximations were required. Therefore, instead of providing an individual standard error for each estimate, generalized sets of standard errors are provided for various types of characteristics. As a result, the sets of standard errors provided give an indication of the order of magnitude of the standard error of an estimate rather than the precise standard error.

The figures presented in tables B-1 through B-8 are approximations to the standard errors of various estimates for persons in the United States. To obtain the approximate standard error for a specific characteristic, the appropriate standard error in tables B-1 through B-8 must be multiplied by the factor for that characteristic given in table B-9. These factors must be applied to the generalized standard errors in order to adjust for the combined effect of the sample design and the estimat-

ing procedure on the value of the characteristic. Standard errors for intermediate values not shown in the generalized tables of standard errors may be approximated by linear interpolation.

Two parameters (denoted "a" and "b") are used to calculate standard errors for each type of characteristic; they are presented in table B-9. The two parameters may be used directly to calculate the standard errors for estimated numbers and percentages. Methods for computation are given in the following sections.

Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers. The approximate standard error, $S_{\rm x}$, of an estimated number shown in this report can be obtained in two ways. It may be obtained by use of the formula

$$S_{x} = f_{1} f_{2} s \tag{1}$$

where f_1 is the appropriate factor from table B-9, f_2 is the appropriate factor from table B-10, and s is the standard error of the estimate obtained by interpolation from tables B-1, B-2, B-3, or B-4.

Alternatively, the standard error for estimates may be calculated directly using the parameters in table B-9. For

Table B-1: Generalized Standard Errors for Estimated Numbers of Persons for Education Characteristics: Total or White

(Numbers in thousands)

Fating And American Street				To	tal persons	in age gro	up ¹			
Estimated number of persons	100	250	500	1,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	25,000	50,000	100,000
10	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
20	6.1	6.5	6.7	6.7	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.8
30	7.0	7.8	8.1	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
40	7.4	8.8	9.2	9.4	9.5	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6
50	7.6	9.6	10.2	10.5	10.6	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7
75	6.6	11.0	12.1	12.7	13.0	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.2	13.2
100	-	11.8	13.6	14.4	14.9	15.1	15.1	15.2	15.2	15.2
200	- [9.6	16.7	19.2	20.6	21.1	21.3	21.4	21.5	21.5
300	-	-	16.7	22.0	24.7	25.5	25.9	26.2	26.3	26.3
400	-	-	13.6	23.6	27.9	29.2	29.8	30.2	30.3	30.3
500	-	-	-	24.0	30.4	32.3	33.1	33.7	33.8	33.9
750	-	-	-	20.8	34.8	38.4	40.0	41.0	41.3	41.5
1,000	-	_	-	-	37.2	43.0	45.6	47.1	47.6	47.8
2,000	-	-	-	-	30.4	52.7	60.8	65.2	66.6	67.3
3,000	- [-	- [-	-	52.7	69.7	78.1	80.7	82.0
4,000	-	-	-	_	-	43.0	74.5	88.1	92.2	94.2
5,000	-	-	- [_	_	-	76.0	96.2	102.0	104.8
7,500	-	-	-	_	-	_ [65.8	110.2	121.4	126.6
10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	117.8	136.0	144.2
20,000	-1	-	-	_	-	_	-	96.2	166.6	192.3
30,000	-	-	- [-	-	_	-	-	166.6	220.3
40,000	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	136.0	235.6
50,000	-	-	-	<u>-</u> .	_	-	-	-	-	240.4
75,000	-	-	- 1	_	-	_	-	-	_	208.2
100,000	-	-	_	_	_ :	_	_	_	_	

¹These values must be multiplied by the appropriate "f" factor in tables B-9 and/or B-10 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.

NOTE: (i) To estimate the standard errors for years prior to 1956, multiply the above standard errors by 1.4; for years 1956 to 1966, multiply by 1.14; and for years 1967 to 1979, multiply by 0.93.

⁽ii) The standard errors were calculated using the formula $\sqrt{-(b/T)x^2 + bx}$, where b = 2312 (from table B-9) and T is the total number of persons in an age group.

Table B-2: Generalized Standard Errors for Estimated Numbers of Persons for Education Characteristics:
Black and Other Races

(Numbers in thousands)

Estimated number of second	Total persons in age group ¹								
Estimated number of persons	100	250	500	1,000	2,500	5,000	10,000		
10	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1		
20	6.4	6.9	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.2	7.2		
30	7.4	8.3	8.6	8.7	8.8	8.8	8.8		
40	7.9	9.3	9.8	10.0	10.1	10.2	10.2		
50	8.1	10.2	10.8	11.1	11.3	11.3	11.4		
75	7.0	11.7	12.9	13.4	13.8	13.9	13.9		
100	-	12.5	14.4	15.3	15.8	16.0	16.0		
200	_	10.2	17.7	20.4	21.9	22.3	22.6		
300	_	_	17.7	23.4	26.2	27.1	27.5		
400	_	_	14.4	25.0	29.6	30.9	31.6		
500	_	-	-	25.5	32.2	34.2	35.1		
750	_	_	-	22.1	36.9	40.7	42.5		
1,000	_	-	_	_	39.5	45.6	48.4		
2,000	-	-	_	_	32.2	55.9	64.5		
3,000	-	_	_	_	-	55.9	73.9		
4,000	-	_	-	_	_	45.6	79.0		
5,000	-	-	-		_	-	80.6		
7,500	_	-	-	_	_	_	69.8		
10,000	_	_	_	_	_	_	00.0		

¹These values must be multiplied by the appropriate "f" factor in tables B-9 and/or B-10 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.

Table B-3: Generalized Standard Errors for Estimated Numbers of Persons for All Characteristics Other Than Education: Total or White

(Numbers in thousands)

Size of estimate	Standard error ¹	Size of estimate	Standard error ¹
25. 50. 100 250 500 1,000.	15 21 33 47	2,500 5,000 10,000 25,000 50,000 100,000	149 209 323 440

¹These values must be multiplied by the appropriate "f" factor in tables B-9 and/or B-10 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.

NOTE: (i) To estimate the standard errors for years prior to 1956, multiply the above standard errors by 1.4; for years 1956 to 1966, multiply by 1.14; and for years 1967 to 1979, multiply by 0.93.

⁽iii) The standard errors were calculated using the formula, $\sqrt{-(b/T)x^2 + bx}$, where b = 2600 (from table B-9) and T is the total number of persons in an age group.

NOTE: (i) To estimate the standard error for years prior to 1956, multiply the above standard error by 1.4; for 1956 to 1966, multiply by 1.14; and for 1967 to 1979, multiply by 0.93.

⁽ii) The standard errors were calculated using the formula, $\sqrt{ax^2 + bx}$, where a = -0.000012 and b = 4480 from table B-9.

Table B-4: Generalized Standard Errors for Estimated Numbers of Persons for All Characteristics Other Than Education: Black and Other Races

(Numbers in thousands)

Size of estimate	Standard error ¹	Size of estimate	Standard error ¹
25 50 100 250 500 1,000	18 25 40 56	2,500 5,000 10,000 15,000 20,000 25,000	156 182 163 64

¹These values must be multiplied by the appropriate "f" factor in tables B-9 and/or B-10 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.

Table B-5: Generalized Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages for Education Characteristics: Total or White

		•						
Base of percentage (thousands)	Estimated percentage ¹							
base of percentage (thousands)	1 or 99	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	25 or 75	50		
75	1.7	2.5	3.8	5.3	7.6	8.8		
100	1.5	2.1	3.3	4.6	6.6	7.6		
250	1.0	1.3	2.1	2.9	4.2	4.8		
500	0.7	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.9	3.4		
750	0.6	0.8	1.2	1.7	2.4	2.8		
1,000	0.5	0.7	1.0	1.4	2.1	2.4		
2,500	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.9	1.3	1.5		
5,000	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.9	1.1		
7,500	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.9		
10,000	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.8		
15,000	0.12	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6		
25,000	0.10	0.13	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5		
50,000	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.2	0.3	0.3		
100,000	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.14	0.3	0.3		

¹These values must be multiplied by the appropriate "f" factor in tables B-9 and/or B-10 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.

NOTE: (i) To estimate the standard error for years prior to 1956, multiply the above standard error by 1.14; for 1956 to 1966, multiply by 1.14; and for 1967 to 1979, multiply by 0.93.

⁽ii) The standard errors were calculated using the formula, $\sqrt{ax^2 + bx}$, where a = -0.000311 and b = 6426 from table B-9.

NOTE: (i) To estimate the standard errors for years prior to 1956, multiply the above standard errors by 1.4; for years 1956 to 1966, multiply by 1.14; and for years 1967 to 1979, multiply by 0.93.

⁽ii) The standard errors were calculated using the formula, $\sqrt{(b/x) p(100 - p)}$, where b = 2312 from table B-9.

all demographic characteristics other than education, use formula (2),

$$S_x = f_2 \sqrt{ax^2 + bx}$$
 (2)

where x is the size of the estimate, a and b are the parameters in table B-9 associated with the particular characteristic, and f_2 is the appropriate factor from table B-10. Standard errors for estimates of characteristics pertaining to education may be approximated by formula (3),

$$S_{x} = f_{2} \sqrt{-\left(\frac{b}{T}\right) x^{2} + bx}$$
 (3)

where x is the size of the estimate, T is the total number of persons in the specific age group, b is the parameter in table B-9 associated with the particular characteristic, and f_2 is the appropriate factor from table B-10.

Illustrations of the Computation of the Standard Error of an Estimated Number. Table 1 of this report shows that in 1985 there were 4,681,000 young adults (ages 25-29 years) who were college graduates and 21,106,000 total persons in that age group. Using formula (1) with f1 = 1.0 from table B-9, f_2 = 1.0 from table B-10, and s = 89,000 from table B-1, the standard error of 4,681,000 is (1.0) (1.0) (89,000) = 89,000. The value of s (= 89,000) was obtained by linear interpolation in two directions in table B-1. The first interpolation was between 10,000,000 and 25,000,000 total persons for both 4,000,000 and 5,000,000 estimated number of persons. The second interpolation was between these two values to get the value corresponding to 4,681,000 persons. Alternatively, using formula (3), since education is the characteristic of interest, with the appropriate b parameter of 2312 from table B-9 and f2 factor of 1.0 from table B-10, the approximate standard error is

92,000 = (1.0)
$$\sqrt{-\left(\frac{2312}{21,106,000}\right)(4,681,000)^2 + (2,312)(4,681,000)}$$

The 90-percent confidence interval for this estimate is from 4,534,000 to 4,828,000 (using 1.6 times the standard error). Similarly, the 95-percent confidence interval is from 4,497,000 to 4,865,000 (using twice the standard error). Therefore, a conclusion that the average estimate derived from all possible samples lies within a range computed in this way would be correct for roughly 95 percent of all possible samples.

Table 6 shows that there were 7,218,000 males in executive, administrative, and managerial occupations. Using formula (1) with $f_1=0.7$ from table B-9, $f_2=1.0$ from table B-10, and s=176 from table B-3, the standard error of 7,218,000 is (0.7) (1.0) (176,000)

= 123,000. Alternatively, using formula (2) with the appropriate a parameter of -0.000025 and b parameter of 2013 from table B-9, the approximate standard error is

$$115,000 = \sqrt{(-0.000025)(7,218,000)^2 + (2013)(7,218,000)}$$

The 90-percent confidence interval for this estimate is from 7,034,000 to 7,402,000, and the 95-percent confidence interval is from 6,988,000 to 7,448,000.

Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages. The reliability of an estimated percentage, computed using sample data for both numerator and denominator, depends upon both the size of the percentage and the size of the total upon which this percentage is based. Estimated percentages are relatively more reliable than the corresponding estimates of the numerators of the percentage, particularly if the percentages are 50 percent or more. When the numerator and denominator of the percentage are in different categories, use the factor or parameter from table B-9 indicated by the numerator. The approximate standard error, $S_{(x,p)}$, of an estimated percentage can be obtained by use of the formula

$$S_{(x,p)} = f_1 f_2 s$$
 (4)

In this formula, f_1 is the appropriate factor from table B-9, f_2 is the appropriate factor from table B-10, and s is the standard error of the estimate from table B-5, B-6, B-7, or B-8. For estimates pertaining to educational characteristics of persons, use table B-5 or B-6; for any other characteristic use table B-7 or B-8. Alternatively, the standard error may be approximated by the following formula from which the standard errors in tables B-5 through B-8 were calculated. Use of this formula will give more accurate results than use of formula (4) above.

$$S_{(x,p)} = f_2 \sqrt{\frac{b}{x} \cdot p(100-p)}$$
 (5)

Here x is the size of the subclass of persons or households which is the base of the percentage, p is the percentage (0), and b is the parameter in table B-9 associated with the particular characteristic in the numerator of the percentage.

Illustration of the Computation of the Standard Error of an Estimated Percentage. Table 19 shows that an estimated 80.6 percent of the 2,617,000 Black persons aged 25 to 29 years were high school graduates in 1985. Using formula (4) with $f_1 = 1.0$ and $f_2 = 1.0$ from tables B-9 and B-10, respectively, and s = 1.3 from table B-6, the standard error of 80.6 percent is

Table B-6: Generalized Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages for Education Characteristics: Black and Other Races

	Estimated percentage ¹							
Base of percentage (thousands)	1 or 99	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	25 or 75	50		
25	3.2	4.5	7.0	9.7	14.0	16.1		
50	2.3	3.2	5.0	6.8	9.9	11.4		
75	1.9	2.6	4.1	5.6	8.1	9.3		
100	1.6	2.3	3.5	4.8	7.0	8.1		
250	1.0	1.4	2.2	3.1	4.4	5.1		
500	0.7	1.0	1.6	2.2	3.1	3.6		
750	0.6	0.8	1.3	1.8	2.5	2.9		
1,000	0.5	0.7	1.1	1.5	2.2	2.5		
2,500	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.0	1.4	1.6		
5,000	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.0	1.1		
7,500	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.9		
10,000	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.8		
15,000	0.13	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.7		
20,000	0.11	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6		

¹These values must be multiplied by the appropriate "f" factor in tables B-9 and/or B-10 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.

Table B-7: Generalized Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages for All Characteristics Other Than Education: Total or White

	Estimated percentage ¹							
Base of percentage (thousands)	1 or 99	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	25 or 75	50		
75	2.4	3.4	5.3	7.3	10.6	12.2		
100	2.1	3.0	4.6	6.3	9.2	10.6		
250	1.3	1.9	2.9	4.0	5.8	6,7		
500	0.9	1.3	2.1	2.8	4.1	4.7		
750	0.8	1.1	1.7	2.3	3.3	3.9		
1,000	0.7	0.9	1.5	2.0	2.9	3.3		
2,500	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.3	1.8	2.1		
5,000	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.9	1.3	1.5		
7,500	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.1	1.2		
10,000	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.9	1.1		
15,000	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.9		
25,000	0.13	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.7		
50,000	0.09	0.13	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5		
100,000	0.07	0.09	0.15	0.2	0.3	0.3		

¹These values must be multiplied by the appropriate "f" factor in tables B-9 and/or B-10 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.

NOTE: (i) To estimate the standard errors for years prior to 1956, multiply the above standard errors by 1.4; for years 1956 to 1966, multiply by 1.14; and for years 1967 to 1979, multiply by 0.93.

⁽ii) The standard errors were calculated using the formula, $\sqrt{(b/x) p(100 - p)}$, where b = 2600 from table B-9.

NOTE: (i) To estimate the standard errors for years prior to 1956, multiply the above standard errors by 1.4; for years 1956 to 1966, multiply by 1.14; and for years 1967 to 1979, multiply by 0.93.

⁽ii) The standard errors were calculated using the formula, $\sqrt{(b/x) p(100 - p)}$, where b = 4480 from table B-9.

Table B-8: Generalized Standard Errors of	Estimated Percentages for All	Characteristics Other Than Education:
Black and Other Races	J	

Page of page at the supplier	Estimated percentage ¹							
Base of percentage (thousands)	1 or 99	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	25 or 75	50		
25	5.0	7.1	11.0	15.2	22.0	25.3		
50	3.6	5.0	7.8	10.8	15.5	17.9		
75	2.9	4.1	6.4	8.8	12.7	14.6		
100	2.5	3.5	5.5	7.6	11.0	12.7		
250	1.6	2.2	3.5	4.8	6.9	8.0		
500	1.1	1.6	2.5	3.4	4.9	5.7		
750	0.9	1.3	2.0	2.8	4.0	4.6		
1,000	0.8	1.1	1.7	2.4	3.5	4.0		
2,500	0.5	0.7	1.1	1.5	2.2	2.5		
5,000	0.4	0.5	0.8	1.1	1.6	1.8		
7,500	0.3	0.4	0,6	0.9	1.3	1.5		
10,000	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.1	1.3		
15,000	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.9	1.0		
20,000	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.9		

¹These values must be multiplied by the appropriate "f" factor in tables B-9 and/or B-10 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.

NOTE: (i) To estimate the standard errors for years prior to 1956, multiply the above standard errors by 1.4; for years 1956 to 1966, multiply by 1.14; and for years 1967 to 1979, multiply by 0.93.

(ii) The standard errors were calculated using the formula, $\sqrt{(b/x) p(100 - p)}$, where b = 6426 from table B-9.

(1.0) (1.0) (1.3) = 1.3. Alternatively, using formula (5) with the appropriate b parameter of 2600 from table B-9, the standard error of 80.6 percent is given by

$$1.2 = (1.0) \sqrt{\frac{2600}{2,617,000} (80.6) (19.4)}$$

Thus, a 90-percent confidence interval of this estimate, using the standard error found by formula (4), is from 78.7 to 82.5, and the 95-percent confidence interval is from 78.2 to 83.0.

Standard Error of a Difference. For a difference between two sample estimates, the standard error is approximately equal to

$$S_{(x,y)} = \sqrt{S_x^2 + S_x^2}$$
 (6)

where S_x and S_y are the standard errors of the estimates x and y, respectively. The estimates can be numbers, percents, etc. This will represent the actual standard error quite accurately for the difference between two estimates of the same characteristics in two different areas or for the difference between separate and uncorrelated characteristics in the same area. If, however, there is a high positive (negative) correlation between the two characteristics, the formula will overestimate (underestimate) the true standard error.

Illustration of the Calculation of the Standard Error of a Difference. Table 2 of this report shows that in 1985 an estimated 87.3 percent of 8,926,000 White women 25 to 29 years old were high school graduates as compared

to 80.4 percent of 1,419,000 Black women 25 to 29 years old. Using formula (5) with the appropriate b parameter of 2312 from table B-9, the approximate standard error of 87.3 percent is 0.5; with the appropriate b parameter of 2600 from table B-9 the approximate standard error of 80.4 percent is 1.7. The apparent difference between these two estimates is 6.9 percent, and the standard error associated with the difference is:

$$1.8 = \sqrt{(0.5)^2 + (1.7)^2}$$

The 90-percent confidence interval of the difference of 6.9 percent is from 4.0 to 9.8 percent. Similarly, the 95-percent confidence interval is from 3.3 to 10.5 percent. Therefore, a conclusion that the average estimate of the difference derived from all possible samples lies within a range computed in this way would be correct roughly 95 percent of the time. Since this interval does not contain zero, we can conclude with 95-percent confidence that White females age 25 to 29 have a greater percentage of high school graduates than Black females of the same age group.

Standard Error of a Median. The sampling variability of an estimated median depends upon the form of the distribution as well as the size of its base. An approximate method for measuring the reliability of an estimated median is to determine a confidence interval about it. (See the section on sampling variability for a general discussion of confidence intervals.) The following procedure may be used to estimate the 68-percent confidence limits and hence the standard error of a median based on sample data.

Table B-9. "a" and "b" Parameters and "f" Factors for Calculating Approximate Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers and Percentages

-	Param		
Type of characteristic	а	b	f ₁ factor ²
EDUCATION CHARACTERISTIC			
Education Attainment of Persons 14+: Total or White	-0.000012	2312	1.0
	-0.000126	2600	1.0
	-0.000216	2600	1.0
CHARACTERISTICS OTHER THAN EDUCATION			
Marital Status: Total or White	-0.000024	4480	1.0
	-0.000311	6426	1.0
	-0.000471	5673	0.9
Household Relationship: Head, Wife or Primary Individual: Total or White	-0.000010	1778	0.6
	-0.000078	1606	0.5
	-0.000133	1606	0.5
	-0.000024	4480	1.0
	-0.000311	6426	1.0
	-0.000471	5673	0.9
Occupation: Both Sexes: Total or WhiteBlackSpanish origin	-0.000016	2327	0.7
	-0.000144	2327	0.6
	-0.000109	1247	0.4
Male: Total or White Black Spanish origin	-0.000025	2013	0.7
	-0.000243	2013	0.6
	-0.000222	1241	0.4
Female: Total or White Black	-0.000019	1725	0.6
	-0.000164	1725	0.5
	-0.000213	1241	0.4

¹ Multiply parameters by 1.96 for CPS data collected before 1956, by 1.3 for CPS data between 1956 and 1966, and by 0.87 for CPS data between 1967 and 1979.

- Determine, using the standard error tables and factors or formula (4), the standard error of the estimate of 50 percent from the distribution.
- 2. Add to and subtract from 50 percent the standard error determined in step (1);
- Using the distribution of the characteristic, calculate the 68-percent confidence interval by calculating the values from the distribution corresponding to the two points established in step (2);
- 4. Once the limits of the 68-percent confidence interval are computed, the standard error of a median can be computed by the formula

$$S_{\text{median}} = (U - L)/2$$

where U = Upper limit of the 68-percent confidence interval,

L = Lower limit of the 68-percent confidence interval.

For calculations of the confidence interval in step (3) use linear interpolation. A 95-percent confidence interval may be determined by finding the values corresponding to 50 percent plus and minus twice the standard error determined in step (1).

The formula used to implement step (3) for linear interpolation is:

$$X_{pN} = \frac{pN - N_1}{N_2 - N_1} (A_2 - A_1) + A_1$$

where N = total number of households, families, or persons in the distribution.

²These factors are to be applied when standard error calculations are made using formulas (1) and (4) only.

Note: For nonmetropolitan data cross-tabulated with other data, also apply the factor 1.2 to the "f₁" factor and the factor 1.5 to the "a" and "b" parameters.

 $\rm X_{pN} = estimated value (e.g., years of school completed) for which the number pN (0 \rm X_{pN}$ estimates the median when p = 0.50 is used in the formula,

 A_1 and A_2 = the estimated values which the upper and lower bounds, respectively, on the interval in which X_{pN} falls (note that A_1 is the larger value),

 N_1 and N_2 = the estimated number of households, families, or persons with values at least A_1 and A_2 , respectively (note that N_1 is the smaller number here).

This procedure is needed only for determining standard errors for medians obtained by combining published distributions. The procedure can also be used to estimate standard errors for quintiles or other percentiles by distributing the proper percentage value for p and following the steps outlined above. Note that when combining distributions, the resulting median or percentile may lie in an open-ended interval.

Illustration of the Computation of a Confidence Interval and Standard Error for a Median. Table 1 of this report shows that in 1985 the median years of school completed by all persons 25 to 29 years old was 12.9. Table 1 also indicates the base of the distribution from which this median was determined is 21,106,000 persons.

- Using formula (4) with the appropriate b parameter from table B-9, the standard error of 50 percent on a base of 21,106,000 is 0.5 percentage points.
- To obtain a 68-percent confidence interval on the estimated median, add to and subtract from 50 percent the standard error found in step (1). This yields percent limits of 49.5 and 50.5.
- 3. From table 1, in 1985, 13.8 percent of all persons aged 25 to 29 years had completed less than 12 years of school and 56.2 percent had completed less than 13 years of school. Using formula (8), the lower limit of the estimate is found to be about

$$\frac{49.5 - 13.8}{56.2 - 13.8} \times (13.0 - 12.0) + 12.0 = 12.84$$

Similarly, the upper limit is found by linear interpolation to be about

$$\frac{50.5 - 13.8}{56.2 - 13.8} \times (13.0 - 12.0) + 12.0 = 12.87$$

Thus, an approximate 68-percent confidence interval for the median school years completed by all persons 25 to 29 years old is from 12.84 to 12.87.

4. The standard error of the median is, therefore, (12.87 - 12.84)/2, or 0.015. (NOTE: Published medians and their standard errors are calculated by the same method as above. However, different medians and standard errors may be obtained because of rounding off errors.)

Table B-10. Factors to be Applied to Standard Errors¹

Type of characteristic	f ₂ factor
U.S. Totals	1.0
States:	
CaliforniaFlorida	0.7 1.1
Georgia	
Illinois.	1.1
Indiana	• • •
Massachusetts	1.0 0.9
Michigan	1.1
Missouri	1.1
New Jersey	1.1
New York	1.0
North Carolina	1.0
Ohio	1.1
Pennsylvania	1.1
Texas	
Virginia	1.2 1.3
	1.3
Regions:	
Northeast	0.8 0.9
South	1.0 0.9
SMSA's (except Washington, D.C.)	1.1 1.0

¹Apply these factors to standard errors obtained using either tables B-1 through B-8 or the "a" and "b" parameters in table B-9.